# Morphological models and how to choose them

Laura Mulvey Analytical Paleobiology Workshop 13.08.2024





## Morphological data

Morphological data was the original type of information used in phylogenetic analysis

Fossils can be used to provide time calibrations, helps extant phylogeny, allows us to understand evolution through time



## Morphological character data

**Discrete Characters**: Morphological data often consist of discrete characters, such as the presence or absence of certain traits, or more complex multistate traits (e.g., number of limbs, type of leaf, presence of a particular bone structure)

**Continuous Characters**: Some morphological data can be continuous, such as measurements of body size, length of bones, or other quantitative traits



## Morphological character data

**Discrete Characters**: Morphological data often consist of discrete characters, such as the presence or absence of certain traits, or more complex multistate traits (e.g., number of limbs, type of leaf, presence of a particular bone structure)

**Continuous Characters**: Some morphological data can be continuous, such as measurements of body size, length of bones, or other quantitative traits



Image from https://www.zoologytalks.com/

Trait 1

001510010?00-100--0000000000 Taxa 1 000500010?200100--0010010000 002500010?200100--0?10010000 00?5?0010?200100?-0???010110 0015000101201000430100011111 0015000101201010440111011111 ??050?????201000440?11011111 01050?010-210000?501??010110 00020001002101003-1110010110 0002000100211001441121011111 000201111-210010?-??11011121 ?103?0?11?1001104-0000010000 1005002110100010--0?00110?20 Taxa 14 1005002000101010540?00110020



Cambrian stalked echinoderms show unexpected plasticity of arm construction Zamora & Smith. 2012 Proc B

Trait 28

Appendage Cover plate

Presence 🛰 Absence

001510010?00-100--0000000000 000500010?200100--0010010000 002500010?200100--0?10010000 00?5?0010?200100?-0???010110 0015000101201000430100011111 0015000101201010440111011111 ??050?????201000440?11011111 01050?010-210000?501??010110 00020001002101003-1110010110 0002000100211001441121011111 000201111-210010?-??11011121 ?103?0?11?1001104-0000010000 1005002110100010--0?00110?20 1005002000101010540?00110020



Cambrian stalked echinoderms show unexpected plasticity of arm construction Zamora & Smith. 2012 Proc B

Binary traits	01	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		

Binary traits	0 1	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		
Multistate traits	01234	Used to describe more complex traits and can capture greater variation between taxa		

Binary traits	01	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		
Multistate traits	01234	Used to describe more complex traits and can capture greater variation between taxa		
Missing characters	?	Used when the specimen is either too decayed to determine whether it has a certain character trait or not, or we are missing the relevant part of the body		

Binary traits	0 1	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		
Multistate traits	01234	Used to describe more complex traits and can capture greater variation between taxa		
Missing characters	?	Used when the specimen is either too decayed to determine whether it has a certain character trait or not, or we are missing the relevant part of the body		
Non-applicable	-	Used when the trait is not associated with a taxon. They represent a type of nested coding where the presence of the trait is defined in a different trait		

Binary traits	01	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		
Multistate traits	01234	Used to describe more complex traits and can capture greater variation between taxa		
Missing characters	?	Used when the specimen is either too decayed to determine whether it has a certain character trait or not, or we are missing the relevant part of the body		
Non-applicable	-	Used when the trait is not associated with a taxon. They represent a type of nested coding where the presence of the trait is defined in a different trait		
Polymorphisms	0/1/2	Used when there are variations in a traits within species		

Binary traits	0 1	Often describes the presence/absence of a trait		
Multistate traits	01234	Used to describe more complex traits and can capture greater variation between taxa		
Missing characters	?	Used when the specimen is either too decayed to determine whether it has a certain character trait or not, or we are missing the relevant part of the body		
Non-applicable	-	Used when the trait is not associated with a taxon. They represent a type of nested coding where the presence of the trait is defined in a different trait		
Polymorphisms	0/1/2	Used when there are variations in a traits within species		
Ambiguous	0/1/2	Used when it is not clear which character trait is present in the taxon		

How do we model morphological evolution? Mk Model

Assumes equal transition probabilities between states

0 - 1

$$\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{01} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{10} \ \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Mk Model

## K can be any number of states



$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & \mu_{01} & \mu_{02} & \mu_{03} \\ \mu_{10} & -\mu_1 & \mu_{12} & \mu_{13} \\ \mu_{20} & \mu_{21} & -\mu_2 & \mu_{23} \\ \mu_{30} & \mu_{31} & \mu_{32} & -\mu_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

\*4 state here as an example, can be any number from 2! Mk Model

Graphical model



What is one characteristic of morphological data that is extremely different to molecular

though there are plenty.....

001510010?00-100--0000000000 000500010?200100--0010010000 002500010?200100--0?10010000 00?5?0010?200100?-0???0101100015000101201000430100011111 0015000101201010440111011111 ??050?????201000440?11011111 01050?010-210000?501??010110 00020001002101003-1110010110 0002000100211001441121011111 000201111-210010?-??11011121 ?103?0?11?1001104-0000010000 1005002110100010--0?00110?20 1005002000101010540?00110020

What is one characteristic of morphological data that is extremely different to molecular

though there are plenty.....

All varying characters

001510010?00-100--0000000000 000500010?200100--0010010000 002500010?200100--0?10010000 00?5?0010?200100?-0???0101100015000101201000430100011111 0015000101201010440111011111 ??050?????201000440?11011111 01050?010-210000?501??010110 00020001002101003-1110010110 0002000100211001441121011111 000201111-210010?-??11011121 ?103?0?11?1001104-0000010000 1005002110100010--0?00110?20 1005002000101010540?00110020

Corrects for ascertainment bias

Failing to account for this can lead to **overestimations in branch lengths** and which can further lead to errors in topology!

Condition the likelihood on there only being varying site Pr(D | V) = Pr(D,V)





#### What is the probability for picking a certain colour ball?



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar

#### What is the probability for picking a certain colour ball?



Probability of choosing an orange ball = 0.3

Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar

#### What is the probability for picking a certain colour ball?



Probability of choosing an orange ball = 0.3 Probability of choosing an orange ball given it is not grey = 0.3/0.6 = 0.5<sub>Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar</sub>

Corrects for ascertainment bias

Failing to account for this can lead to overestimations in branch lengths and which can further lead to errors in topology!

Probability of the data given character is variable

Pr(D | V) = Pr(D,V)

Pr ( V )



Corrects for ascertainment bias



Failing to account for this can lead to overestimations in branch lengths and which can further lead to errors in topology!

Probability of the data given character is variable

Pr(D | V) = Pr(D,V)

Pr(V)

Probability of the data and character is variable

Corrects for ascertainment bias



Failing to account for this can lead to overestimations in branch lengths and which can further lead to errors in topology!

Probability of the data given character is variable Probability of the data and character is variable

Pr(D|V) = Pr(D,V)



Probability that character is variable

Corrects for **ascertainment bias** 

Failing to account for this can lead to overestimations in branch lengths and which can further lead to errors in topology!

$$Pr(D | V) = Pr(D,V)$$

$$Pr(V) \longleftarrow Probability that character is variable$$

$$1 - Pr(character is constant)$$



This value, Pr(C) can be obtained using a **dummy character** having the same state for all internal nodes

In RevBayes this is done internally and all non varying characters will be removed before the inference

In Beast you will see the dummy characters in the xml file produced from beauti

<data< th=""><th></th></data<>	
id="Zamora_Smith_part"	
spec="Alignment"	
dataType="standard">	
	<pre><sequence <="" id="seq_Kinzercystis" pre="" spec="sequence" taxon="Kinzercystis" totalcount="6"></sequence></pre>
	value="001510010?00-1000000000000000"012345'/>
	<sequence <="" id="seq_Gogia" spec="Sequence" taxor="Gogia" th="" totalcount="6"></sequence>
	value="000500010?200100001001000"012345' <mark>/</mark> >
	<pre><sequence <="" id="seq_Sinoeocrinus" pre="" spec="sequence" taxon="Sinoeocrinus" totalcount="6"></sequence></pre>
	value="002500010?2001000?10010000012345'/>
	<sequence <="" akadocrinus"="" id="seq_Akadocrinus" spec="S quence' taxon=" th="" totalcount="6"></sequence>
	value="00?5?0010?200100?-0???010110012345'/>
	<sequence <="" id="seq_Ridersia" spec="Sequence" taxon="Ridersia" th="" totalcount="6"></sequence>
	value="001500010120100043010001111.012345'/>



	True Branch Length	Mk	Mkv	- WILLE
Percent correct	-	74.0	99.8	
Branch A	0.2	241,750 (±349,100)	0.206 (±0.060)	
Branch B	0.05	0.43210 (±0.13756)	0.050 (± 0.018)	
Branch X	0.05	54.646 (±1,725.3)	0.052 (± 0.023)	
Branch C	0.2	143,950 (±228,910)	0.206 (± 0.059)	
Branch D	0.05	0.022 (± 0.054)	0.051 (±0.019)	



#### **Turtle shell evolution**



Relative to each other!

What do we do?



Allow these traits to evolve at different rates:

- Specify which traits evolve fast
- Use a gamma model to account for rate heterogeneity

What do we do?



Allow these traits to evolve at different rates:

- Specify which traits evolve fast
- Use a gamma model to account for rate heterogeneity



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar



What do we do?



Allow each trait to evolve according to the rates drawn from the gamma distribution One rate will fit the best and be the most influential for the likelihood calculation
#### Mk(V) + Gamma



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar

# Partitioning Data

Grouping together parts of the alignment that have similar characteristics and or may have **evolved together** due to evolutionary pressures

The **defaults** in many phylogenetic software is to group by maximum observed state size

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mu_0 & \mu_{01} \\ \mu_{10} & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & \mu_{01} & \mu_{02} & \mu_{03} \\ \mu_{10} & -\mu_1 & \mu_{12} & \mu_{13} \\ \mu_{20} & \mu_{21} & -\mu_2 & \mu_{23} \\ \mu_{30} & \mu_{31} & \mu_{32} & -\mu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\mu_0 \ \mu_{01} \ \mu_{02}$$
  
 $\mu_{10} \ \mu_1 \ \mu_{12}$   
 $\mu_{20} \ \mu_{21} \ -\mu_2$ 



When should we partition our data?

# Partitioning Data

When should we partition our data?

If we have presence (1) absence (0) traits partitioning will always be a logical approach: what would transitioning to state 2 in this scenario even mean?

# Partitioning Data

When should we partition our data?

If we have presence (1) absence (0) traits partitioning will always be a logical approach: what would transitioning to state 2 in this scenario even mean?

We should be cautious for traits describing a trait – just because we do not observe a state 2 can we be absolutely certain there never was one?

Justifying partitioning schemes is very important as they have a major impact on inference results

# Other morphological models

### Ordered Characters

Ordered characters can be placed in an order so that transitions only occur between adjacent states.



For example, "intermediate" species that are somewhere in between limbed and limbless – for example, the "mermaid skinks" (Sirenoscincus) from Madagascar, so called because they lack hind limbs. An ordered model might only allow transitions between limbless and intermediate, and intermediate and limbed; it would be impossible under such a model to go directly from limbed to limbless without first becoming intermediate.

For unordered characters, any state can change into any other state.



Specific characters ordered:

All characters ordered:

 $\left( \right)$ 

**Ordered Characters** 



3

2

# Embedded dependency model

Markov models for phylogenetic inference with anatomically dependent (inapplicable) morphological characters

Non-applicable characters only considered when they are present (1)



#### Alternative Partitioning schemes

Reassessing the phylogeny and divergence times of sloths (Mammalia: Pilosa: Folivora) Characters can be groups based on anatomical region

Other criteria such as the degree of homoplasy present in a character was explored in this study – and found to be a better fit using Bayes factors



# Alternative Partitioning schemes



Casali et al <u>2022</u> Zoological Journal o the Linnean Society

# Challenges with morphological data

Generalising assumptions across different traits is often not possible Modelling special characters in matrices

> 001510010?00-100--000000000 000500010?200100--0010010000 002500010?200100--0?10010000 00?5?0010?200100?-0???010110 0015000101201000430100011111 ??050????201000440?1101111

# Challenges with morphological data

Morphological matrices are often quite small:

- Collection is very time consuming
- Number of characters available can be very small depending on the group





# Model impact on key parameter estimates



Example of 114 empirical tetrapod matrices

Looked at the impact on:

- branch lengths (evolutionary distances)
- Tree topology (species relationships)



Percentage difference in tree length relative to Mk model

Mk × Mk+G A MkV + MkV+G
MkP+G \* MkVP + MkVP+G



Percentage difference in tree length relative to Mk model

Mk × Mk+G A MkV \* MkV+G
MkP+G \* MkVP + MkVP+G

# How do we choose a model?

#### Model selection

Bayes factors are commonly used to determine the relative fit between model.

It relies on comparing the marginal likelihoods approximated from different models.

The ML measures the average fit of a model to our data.

We use MCMC to avoid calculating this number as it is computationally expensive and often not directly possible.

#### Model selection



Marginal probability of the data (denominator in Bayes' rule) is the expected value of the likelihood with respect to the prior distribution.

If likelihood measures model fit, then the marginal likelihood measures the average fit of the model to the data over all parameter values.

What is the expected value?

# Marginal likelihood

P (data | model)

The marginal likelihood is used to evaluate the overall fit of the model to the data, integrating over all parameter values.



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar

# Marginal likelihood

P (data | model)

Very small, single number between the posterior distribution and the prior



Adapted from Paul Lewis PhyloSeminar

# Approximating the marginal likelihood

There are two common algorithms to do this:

- Stepping stone
- Path sampling

Both of these approaches are computationally expensive

**Stepping-stone algorithms** are like a series of MCMC simulations that iteratively sample from a specified number of distributions that are discrete steps between the posterior and the prior probability distributions.

# Stepping stone algorithm



 $\beta = 1$  this is the posterior distribution  $\beta = 0$  this is the prior distribution

# Bayes factors

$$B_{01} = \frac{P(D|M_0)}{P(D|M_1)} = \frac{Marginal likelihood for model M_0}{Marginal likelihood for model M_1}$$

#### Bayes factors

$$B_{01} = \frac{P(D|M_0)}{P(D|M_1)} = \frac{Marginal likelihood for model M_0}{Marginal likelihood for model M_1}$$

Marginal likelihoods are often on the log scale so the Bayes factor can be calculated as:

$$\log B_{01} = \log P(D \mid M_0) - \log P(D \mid M_1)$$

# Interpreting Bayes factors

Strength of evidence	<i>BF(M0,M1)</i>	log(BF(M0,M1))
Negative (supports $M_1$ )	<1	<0
Barely worth mentioning	1 to 3.2	0 to 1.16
Substantial	3.2 to 10	1.16 to 2.3
Strong	10 to 100	2.3 to 4.6
Decisive	>100	>4.6

# Exercise: Use stepping stone to determine the fit between models

#### Issues with Bayes factors

The way we **partition data** for morphological data is different to molecular

010023 201102 112131

Unpartitioned everything in Q-matrix of size 4

Partitioning the data puts characters into correctly sizes Q-matrix

#### Issues with Bayes factors



Data set with 6 states

Model Adequacy

Assess whether a model is capturing the evolutionary dynamics that generated the data

Gives the **absolute fit** 

One approach is **Posterior Predictive Simulations** 

#### **Posterior Predictive Simulations**



#### **Posterior Predictive Simulations**





A test statistic is a **numerical summary** of data. A value that captures the characteristic of you data.

For PPS we have 3 categories: Data-based, inference-based, mixed

#### **Test Statistics**

Empirical Data		Simulated Data 1	Simulated Data 2
taxa 1 0 1 0 1 2 1		taxa 1 100121	taxa 1 110121
taxa 2 1 2 1 0 1 0	$\sim$	taxa 2 121020	taxa 2 111010
taxa 3 001001		taxa 3 010111	taxa 3 011101
taxa 4 110101		taxa 4 100101	taxa 4 120101

Data test stats

Disparity is a measure of the **range or significance of morphology** in a given sample of organisms

i. Gowers Coefficient ii. Generalised Euclidean Distance

**Test Statistics** 

Tree test stats

i. Tree length: sum ofthe branch lengths.ii. Robinson Foulds:topological uncertaintywithin the posterior





N


# Mixed test stats

i. Consistency Index Measures the amount of **homoplasy** (convergent evolution) in a data set

ii. Retention indexMeasures the amount ofsynapomorphies in a dataset



Simulated Pata 1 taxa 1 100121 taxa 2 121020 taxa 3 010111 taxa 4 100101



 $\sim$ 

Take Robinson Foulds Distance



# 1 empirical RF value



Sim 1 RF value

Sim 1 RF value

Sim n RF value

#### Robinson Foulds Distance





Robinson Foulds Distance

Sim Emp



Note
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

Histograms showing the range of RF values for all the simulated data



We can use this to calculate **effect** sizes

Empirical TS - SimTs

Sd(All Sim TS)



# Effect Sizes



Replicates



Replicate number



These test statistics **are not informative** about the correct model

#### Mixed test stats





These test statistics **are informative** about the correct model

# Empirical data sets

MkVP+G	
MkVP	
MkP+G	ound 3 nodels that
MkV+G	re
MkV	dequate
Mk+G	
Mk	

# Empirical data sets



#### **Posterior Predictive P-values**

#### Robinson Foulds Distance



Note
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

Histograms showing the range of RF values for all the simulated data

Are these values significantly different from each other?

We will also calculate the P-values in R (look at the midpoint value)

**Exercise 2**: Use model adequacy to determine the absolute fit of a model